Attempting to reconstruct auditory neural generators using EEG Silvana Huertas-Penen, Robin Gransier, Ehsan Darestani Farahani, Jan Wouters, Astrid van Wieringen ExpORL, Dept. Neurosciences, K.U.Leuven

Introduction

Auditory Neural generators

- Auditory neural generators are regions of the brain (cortical and/or sub-cortical) in charge of processing auditory signals.
- Neural generators differ depending on the characteristics of the auditory signals.

Reconstruction Neural generators

- Finding the neural generators in charge of processing specific external signals using brain images/signals + localization techniques.
- \blacktriangleright Historically ==> Reconstruction of neural generators has been done using MRIs.
- For speech/auditory signals = > **Temporal** processing is necessary.
- \blacktriangleright MRI not useful for temporal processing = \ge EEG allows analysis of **temporal** information [1].

Reconstruction Auditory Neural generators



- Reconstruction
- Use of repetitive signals to generate Auditory steady-state responses (ASSRs).
- There are two reconstruction techniques previously used for auditory signals = > BESA [2] and Minimum norm *imaging* (MNI) [3].



BESA vs MNI

BESA

- Mainly reconstruction of cortical sources.
- ► Use of simple head models.
- ► Use of region's dipoles. One dipole per region.

MNI

- Reconstruction of cortical and sub-cortical sources.
- \blacktriangleright Use of complex head models ==> Similar to reality.
- ► Use of multiple dipoles per region.

Aim preliminar study

The aim of this preliminary study is to attempt to reconstruct cortical and sub-cortical sources EEG and the MNI technique.

Methodology



- Signals modulated at frequencies between 36Hz and 50Hz.
- \blacktriangleright Apparent Latency ==> Time interval between stimulus presentation and results brain activity.

of ASSRs, in normal hearing young adults, using

Preliminar Results

- Amplitude values in cortical and sub-cortical sources similar between BESA and MNI.
- Apparent latency decreases from cortical to sub-cortical in both methodologies.
- Apparent latency cortical MNI too low.
- Apparent latency sub-cortical BESA too high.

Conclusions

- domain.
- ► A decrease of apparent latency from cortical to sub-cortical regions is as described in previous studies. ► It is not clear if the MNI technique loses the phase information or not. This is necessary to calculate the apparent latency.
- Is it possible that the BESA and MNI techniques can't differentiate, at the same time, the cortical and sub-cortical sources?

Future work

Acknowledgments

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie, Grant Agreement No 860755.

Bibliography

- [1] Fernando Lopes da Silva. Eeg and meg: relevance to neuroscience. Neuron, 80(5):1112–1128, 2013
- [2] Michael Scherg and Terence W Picton.
- Human Brain Mapping, 2020.



Preliminary, the MNI methodology is able to reconstruct the cortical and sub-cortical sources, in the activity

Reconstruct auditory neural generators at frequencies around 4Hz and 20Hz. = We expect only cortical sources at these frequencies.

Separation and identification of event-related potential components by brain electric source analysis.

Electroencephalography and clinical neurophysiology. Supplement, 42:24–37, 1991.

^[3] Ehsan Darestani Farahani, Jan Wouters, and Astrid van Wieringen.

Brain mapping of auditory steady-state responses: A broad view of cortical and subcortical sources.